PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the 0 4 MAY 2005 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: **ASTRAZENECA** Global Intellectual Property WRITTEN OPINION OF THE 151 85 Södertälje INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY Sweden (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing 0 2 -05- 2005 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 101258-1 WO Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/SE 2005/000013 09.01.2004 05.01.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC CO7D 211/70, A61K 31/445, A61P 25/04, A61P 25/22 Applicant AstraZeneca AB et al This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("TPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055 Solveig Gustavsson/ELY S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

À,

International application No.

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
which it v	rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 d 23.1(b)).
_	rd to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the evention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: f material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format	of material in written format in computer readable form
c. time o	filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additiona	l comments:

International application No.

Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
-	whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be oplicable have not been examined in respect of:
the	entire international application
Cla	ims Nos. 9-10
	said international application, or the said claims Nos. 9-10 ate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	TRule 67.1.(iv).: Methods for treatment of the human imal body by surgery or therapy, as well as diagnostic ds.
	description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	The claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported
	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
·	the written form has not been furnished
•	does not comply with the standard the computer readable form has not been furnished does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.

PCT/SE 2005/000013

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement		-	
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-8,11-14	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-8,11-14	NO·
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8,11-14	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

- D1) WO 02094812 A1
- D2) WO 9828275 A1

The claims disclose diarylmethylidene piperidine derivatives that are used for treatment of pain, anxiety or functional gastrointestinal disorders.

Documents D1-D2 disclose structurally closely related compounds with the same activity as the claimed compounds.

Document D1 which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses structurally closely related compounds. The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from D1 (see the claims) in that the aminogroup in that the aminogroup is in para-position instead of in meta-position on the phenyl-group.

The subject-matter of claims 1-9 and 14 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention in the light of document D1 is the provision of alternative diphenylmethylidene piperidine derivatives useful for treatment of pain, anxiety or functional gastrointestinal disorders.

Document D2 show structurally related compounds, that are substituted in meta-position on the phenyl-group with an

.../...

International application No.

PCT/SE 2005/000013

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: BOX V

aminogroup and no substituent on the piperidine-nitrogen (see page 115). However, this document also show compounds without the aminogroup that are substituted on the piperidine-nitrogen with groups such as arylmethyl.

The applicant has not shown that the structural differences render the claimed compounds' unexpected effects in comparison with the known compounds'.

It is considered obvious to a person skilled in the art to modify structurally similar compounds to obtain the claimed compounds and come to the conclusion that they will have the same activity.

The processes for preparation, of claims 11-13, are generally known processes and these claims therefore lack inventive step, as do the intermediates of claims 14 that are obtained in these processes.

Consequently, claims 1-8 and 11-14 are considered to fulfil the requirements of novelty, but not that of inventive step.

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		RE	C'D 0 4 MAY 2005
To: ASTRAZENECA Global Intellectual Property]	PCT FOT OPINION OF THE
151 85 Södertälje			SEARCHING AUTHORITY
Sweden		(PCT	Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2 -05- 2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTIO	
101258-1 WO		See	paragraph 2 below
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g date	(day/month/year) Prior	rity date (day/month/year)
PCT/SE 2005/000013 05.01.200	5	09	.01.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national cla			
C07D 211/70, A61K 31/445, A61P	2	5/04, A61P 25/2	.2
Applicant			
AstraZeneca AB et al			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following	ng ite	ems:	
Box No. I Basis of the opinion			•
Box No. II Priority			•
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with	ı rega	ard to novelty, inventive step	and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 applicability; citations and explana			, inventive step or industrial
Box No. VI Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international	l app	lication	
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internations	ation	al application	
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA" Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen written opinions of this International Searching Authority	") exe	cept that this does not apply to A has notified the Internation	where the applicant chooses an
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with a of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 mc.	amen	dments, before the expiration	of 3 months from the date of mailin
For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			• • • • •
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE		Authorized officer	
Patent- och registreringsverket			
Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM		Solveig Gustav	rsson/ELY

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

ħ.

b

International application No.

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
which i	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
claimed	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the discounties, this opinion has been established on the basis of: a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. form	at of material in written format in computer readable form
c. time	contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Addition	onal comments:

7

International application No.

Box No. III N	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
the e	ntire international application		
Clain	ns Nos. 9-10		
1 1	aid international application, or the said claims Nos. 9-10 to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
	Rule 67.1.(iv).: Methods for treatment of the human nal body by surgery or therapy, as well as diagnostic		
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
•			
	The claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported		
	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.		
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.		
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:		
	the written form has not been furnished		
	does not comply with the standard the computer readable form has not been furnished does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See Supplemental Box for further details.		

International application No.

PCT/SE 2005/000013

		ander Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial as and explanations supporting such statement		
Statemen	t			
Novelty (N)		Claims	1-8,11-14	YES
	•	Claims		NO NO
Inventive step (IS)		Claims		YES
		Claims	1-8,11-14	NO·
Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims	1-8,11-14	YES
		Claims		NО
	Statemen Novel	applicability; citation Statement Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS)	Statement Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims	Applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Statement Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims Claims 1-8,11-14 Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-8,11-14

2. Citations and explanations:

- D1) WO 02094812 A1
- D2) WO 9828275 A1

The claims disclose diarylmethylidene piperidine derivatives that are used for treatment of pain, anxiety or functional gastrointestinal disorders.

Documents D1-D2 disclose structurally closely related compounds with the same activity as the claimed compounds.

Document D1 which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses structurally closely related compounds. The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from D1 (see the claims) in that the aminogroup in that the aminogroup is in para-position instead of in meta-position on the phenyl-group.

The subject-matter of claims 1-9 and 14 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention in the light of document D1 is the provision of alternative diphenylmethylidene piperidine derivatives useful for treatment of pain, anxiety or functional gastrointestinal disorders.

Document D2 show structurally related compounds, that are substituted in meta-position on the phenyl-group with an

. . . / . . .

International application No.

PCT/SE 2005/000013

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: BOX V

aminogroup and no substituent on the piperidine-nitrogen (see page 115). However, this document also show compounds without the aminogroup that are substituted on the piperidine-nitrogen with groups such as arylmethyl.

The applicant has not shown that the structural differences render the claimed compounds' unexpected effects in comparison with the known compounds'.

It is considered obvious to a person skilled in the art to modify structurally similar compounds to obtain the claimed compounds and come to the conclusion that they will have the same activity.

The processes for preparation, of claims 11-13, are generally known processes and these claims therefore lack inventive step, as do the intermediates of claims 14 that are obtained in these processes.

Consequently, claims 1-8 and 11-14 are considered to fulfil the requirements of novelty, but not that of inventive step.